

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/693,679	10/19/2000	Paul Fulton	3COM-2741.WHD.US.P	7209
7590 06/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			WARE, CICELY Q	
Two North Market Street Third Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2634	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/693,679	FULTON, PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cicely Ware	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amer</u>	ndment filed on 2/07/2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/07/2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
	<del></del>					

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsukane et al. (US Patent 5,467,341).
- (1) With regard to claim1, Matsukane et al. discloses in a method indicating reception performance of a wireless signal at a radio frequency peripheral component card of a computer system said method comprising: receiving said wireless signal at a wireless receiver said radio frequency peripheral component card; demodulating said wireless signal; determining an error rate of a digital data portion of said wireless signal; and indicating a quality level of reception of said wireless signal at said electronic device based on said error rate (abstract, col. 1, lines 7-10, 13-47, 53-59, col. 5, lines 40-47, 54-57, col. 6, lines 55-65, col. 8, lines 23-36, col. 10, lines 22-26, col. 12, lines 26-35, ).

Art Unit: 2634

- (2) With regard to claim 2, claim 2 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level of reception is indicated via a light-emitting device (col. 6, lines 21-30, col. 7, lines 40-42, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (3) With regard to claim 3, claim 3 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of linearly translating said error rate into said quality level to notify of the favorable reception state by the luminescence (display)(col. 4, lines 19-21, col. 8, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (4) With regard to claim 4, claim 4 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said error rate is a packet error rate to notify of the favorable reception state (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-35)
- (5) With regard to claim 5, claim 5 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a cyclic redundancy code (CRC) algorithm (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-38, col. 10, lines 4-5).
- (6) With regard to claim 6, claim 6 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a forward error correction algorithm to enable two-way error free transfer of data (col. 3, lines 32-35).
- (7) With regard to claim 7, claim 7 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

  Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level is linearly proportional to said error rate of said wireless signal (col. 6, lines 25-27, col. 9, lines 2-8).

Art Unit: 2634

- (8) With regard to claim 8, claim 8 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

  Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of adaptively updating said step(c of determining said error rate and said step d) of indicating said quality level (col. 9, lines 21-41).
- (9) With regard to claim 9, claim 9 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses in the steps of recording a history of said quality level with respect to another variable; identifying a maximum quality level; and indicating when said quality is at said maximum level (col. 8, lines 59-65, col. 9, lines 1-20).
- (10) With regard to claim 10, claim 10 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. discloses in the steps of providing feedback to control reception, said feedback relate to said quality level of reception; and adjusting said reception based on said feedback, thereby improving said quality level of said reception (col. 7, lines 17-26, col. 9, lines 9-10).
- (11) With regard to claim 11, claim 11 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card comprising: a receiver; a processor, said processor coupled to said receiver; and a computer readable memory unit, said computer readable memory unit coupled to said processor, said computer readable memory unit containing program instructions stored therein that execute, via said processor, a method for providing a quality level of reception (col. 10, lines 9-51).

Application/Control Number: 09/693,679 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

(12) With regard to claim 12, claim 12 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 2 above.

- (13) With regard to claim 13, claim 13 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 3 above.
- (14) With regard to claim 14, claim 14 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 4. Matsukane further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card (abstract, col. 1, lines 32-47, col. 3, lines 32-35, col. 10, lines 22-26)
- (15) With regard to claim 15, claim 15 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 5. Matsukane et al. further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card (abstract, col. 1, lines 32-47, col. 3, lines 32-38, col. 10, lines 22-26).
- (16) With regard to claim 16, claim 16 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 6. Matsukane et al. further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card (col. 1, lines 32-47, col. 3, lines 32-35, col. 10, lines 22-26).
- (17) With regard to claim 17, claim 17 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 7 above.
- (18) With regard to claim 18, claim 18 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 8 above.
- (19) With regard to claim 19, claim 19 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 9 above.
- (20) With regard to claim 20, claim 20 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 10 above.

Art Unit: 2634

: :

(21) With regard to claim 21, claim 21 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Matsukane et al. further discloses a computer readable medium containing therein computer readable codes for causing a radio frequency peripheral component card of a computer system to implement a method of managing multipath signals to increase the degree of mobility and increase the area of communication coverage (col. 1, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-30, 66-67, col. 3, lines 1-4, col. 10, lines 18-29).

- (22) With regard to claim 22, claim 22 inherits all the limitations of claim 21.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level of reception is indicated via a light-emitting device (col. 6, lines 21-30, col. 7, lines 40-42, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (23) With regard to claim 23, claim 23 inherits all the limitations of claim 21.

  Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of linearly translating said error rate into said quality level to notify of the favorable reception state by the luminescence (display)(col. 4, lines 19-21, col. 8, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (24) With regard to claim 24, claim 24 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said error rate is a packet error rate (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-35).
- (25) With regard to claim 25, claim 25 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a cyclic redundancy code (CRC) algorithm (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-38).

Art Unit: 2634

(26) With regard to claim 26, claim 26 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a forward error correction algorithm (col. 3, lines 32-35).

- (27) With regard to claim 27, claim 27 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level is linearly proportional to said error rate of said wireless signal (col. 6, lines 25-27, col. 9, lines 2-8).
- (28) With regard to claim 28, claim 28 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of adaptively updating said of determining said error rate and said step of indicating said quality level (col. 9, lines 21-41).
- (29) With regard to claim 29, claim 29 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses in the steps of recording a history of said quality level with respect to another variable; identifying a maximum quality level; and indicating when said quality is at said maximum level (col. 8, lines 59-65, col. 9, lines 1-20).
- (30) With regard to claim 30, claim 30 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. discloses in the steps of providing feedback to control reception, said feedback relate to said quality level of reception; and adjusting said reception based on said feedback, thereby improving said quality level of said reception (col. 7, lines 17-26, col. 9, lines 9-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/693,679 Page 8

Art Unit: 2634

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-3047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw June 13, 2005

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000